

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

Addendum # 1



Department Of Executive Services
Finance and Business Operations Division
Procurement and Contract Services Section
206-684-1681 TTY RELAY: 711

DATE ISSUED: November 15, 2004

RFP Title: **"Heat" Software Consulting & Maintenance Services**
Requesting Dept./ Div.: **King County Dept. of Executive Services – ITS Division**
RFP Number: **142-04CMB**
Due Date: **November 23, 2004 - no later than 2:00 P.M.**
Buyer: Cathy M. Betts, cathy.betts@metrokc.gov, (206) 263-4267

This addendum is issued to revised the original Request for Proposal, dated October 28, 2004 as follows:

1. The proposal opening date remains the same: **Tuesday, November 23, 2004** no later than 2:00 p.m. exactly.

The following information is provided in response to questions received:

Q1: The RFP mentions existing database tables without specifics on type and size. Can you please provide further detail?

A1: The HEAT database is SQL and the database size is 500MB.

Q2: What is your back end server? SQL or Oracle?

A2: SQL.

Q3: As part of the implementation, do you need to be upgraded from HEAT 7.0 to the latest version 8.0.3?

A3: Yes.

(continued on page 2)

TO BE ELIGIBLE FOR AWARD OF A CONTRACT, THIS ADDEMDUM MUST BE SIGNED AND SUBMITTED TO KING COUNTY

Sealed proposals will only be received by:

King County Procurement Services Section, Exchange Building, 8th floor, 821 Second Avenue, Seattle, WA 98104-1598. Office hours: 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., Monday - Friday

Company Name

Address		City / State / Postal Code
Signature	Authorized Representative/Title	
Email	Phone	Fax

This Request for Proposal – Addendum will be provided in alternative formats such as Braille, large print, audiocassette or computer disk for individuals with disabilities upon request.

Q3: As part of the implementation, do you need to be upgraded from HEAT 7.0 to the latest version 8.0.3?

A3: Yes.

Q4: What is the timeframe for making a decision on this bid?

A4: As soon as possible, based on the evaluation process as spelled out in the RFP document.

Q5: Is there a timeframe goal for the implementation?

A5: As soon as a contract can be negotiated with the successful proposer.

Q6: It's difficult to create the Phase II implementation project scope before we do the Audit. When submitting the phase II proposal do you want us to give you a best estimate to be determined after the audit or a per day cost? What is your expectation?

A6: Per day cost.

Q7: We would like the opportunity to present our solution which would fit within the scope of work stated in part 2 of the RFP 142-04CMB. Since this is a public/published RFP, we feel we should have an equal opportunity to respond with a competitive replacement.

A7: At this time, the County is only looking at upgrading our current operation as explained in the RFP document, not replacing our existing system with another.